



ASSESSMENT OF THE TWO COMMITTEE PILOT

QUESTION	ANSWER
<p>1. In considering the criteria used to determine the pilot scheme, which were:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It must enable Members to lead on policy and governance • Members should be accountable for decisions which must be made democratically • It must be an effective and efficient model for the Council • Benefits should be gained by being align with the management structure <p>Overall how successful do you think the scheme has been to date? Score 1 to 10 (10 being highest)</p>	<p>Average score of surveys returned is 5.5</p>
<p>2. Should the Chairmen of each Community Services and Resources Committee have a nonvoting place on the other Committee?</p>	<p>Yes But could add to already busy workload.</p>
<p>3. Is the frequency of meetings too often/ not enough/ correct?</p>	<p>Yes, but more could be made of reserved dates for special meetings.</p> <p>Main meetings too far apart.</p>
<p>4. Do you feel that the workload is too great for lead Members on Community Services Committee? If so, how could this be addressed?</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Split FP&H from CSC</p> <p>Could split CSC in half</p> <p>Chair has too many commitments</p> <p>Some Councillors being left out of decision making process.</p>
<p>5. Do you feel the current Overview and Scrutiny arrangements are adequate to support the Two Committee Model? If no, how could this be addressed?</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Could be two dedicated committees</p> <p>No, too much work placed on O&S, some issues not being addressed, should be looking at internal matters.</p>
<p>6. Is it too soon to make a decision about whether the pilot has been successful? If so, would you like to see the pilot extended for another year?</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Should extend for another year</p> <p>Could tweak current system</p>

	<p>Don't think anything to be gained by extending.</p>
<p>7. Should Members be able to sit on more than one of Community Services and Resources Committee?</p>	<p>Yes,</p> <p>If CSC split into two</p> <p>Horrendous workload, would anyone want to?</p> <p>Yes, when committee places allocated.</p> <p>Some Members appear as subs already especially from P&L.</p>
<p>8. Do you have any further comments which you wish to be considered during the review into the Two Committee Model?</p>	<p>Importance of Working Groups need to be recognised.</p> <p>2Committee System too cumbersome for committee to digest information from Officers.</p> <p>Feels like decisions are rubberstamped after being agreed in small working parties.</p> <p>Issues not fully debated.</p> <p>Alignment of with management system overplayed, need to reflect on what is best for democracy.</p>